

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

March 30, 2015

ATTN: Jen Ph 0417 386 451 - Employee  
c/o alleged DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE – SHERIFF'S OFFICE  
T/a INFRINGEMENT MANAGEMENT & ENFORCEMENT SERVICES (IMES) and  
MAGISTRATES AND INFRINGEMENT COURTS  
ABN: 32 790 228 959 (<http://abn.commerce.com.au/32790228959>)  
444 Swanston St, Melbourne VIC 3000  
**Posted:** <http://pn.i-uv.com/brendan-facey/>

Dear Jen,

Please take notice of the attached correspondence related to these alleged matters.

Firstly, as you are aware, *Section 8, Sub-section 12* of the Commonwealth Imperial Acts Application Act – clearly states “That all grants and promises of fines and forfeitures of particular persons before conviction, are illegal and void.”.

**Several formal written requests have been written to have this matter determined by a court of competent jurisdiction, that is, a court that conforms to Chapter III of the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 (UK) as confirmed by the High Court pursuant to the “Forge V ASIC” determination. Please note that a court with a solitary Bail Justice or computer does not comply and is therefore not a court in conformity with the law and in respect to all Australians’ Constitutional Rights.**

You are again reminded that,

- 1) If you fail to provide proof of guilt and provide the name of the victim or witnesses, you therefore agree to, amongst other things, take no further action against XXXXXXXX, and that any Prosecutor and/or Magistrate/Judge would be in violation of their duty of oath if they were in any way involved with this matter being taken further.
- 2) As a debt collection agent, Acting In Commerce for the above company, you are under the obligation of ACCC and ASIC to act in accordance with their Guidelines. As per those Guidelines, your organisation does not have assumed or otherwise, permission to make contact by telephone. Should you do so, please note that calls will be recorded. As you are aware, failure to take heed of this request may constitute ‘harassment’ and action may be taken under The Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth) and/or the Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001 (Cth).
- 3) Any attempt to seize property or act in anyway against any person or ‘corporation’, as your company’s recent letters threaten to, without a court order, is obviously extortion. As such this is a formal request that you provide your Professional Indemnity Insurance details immediately, as a claim may be made against you personally for your actions.

Yours sincerely,  
XXXXXXX  
Without Prejudice  
All rights reserved